

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

7590 01/25/2005 EXAMINER Theodore M. Magee WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600 900 South Second Avenue EXAMINER KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSE ART UNIT PAPER 2652	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
Theodore M. Magee WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600 900 South Second Avenue KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSE ART UNIT PAPER 2652	10/083,054	02/26/2002	Kevin J. Schułz	S01.12-0829/STL 10301 4383	
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600 900 South Second Avenue ART UNIT PAPER 2652	759	01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
International Centre - Suite 1600 900 South Second Avenue ART UNIT PAPER 2652	Theodore M. Magee			KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH	
900 South Second Avenue 2652	WESTMAN CH	AMPLIN & KELLY			
****	International Centre - Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1. 101 CC100 0010	900 South Second Avenue			2652	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319 DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	Minneapolis, M	N 55402-3319		DATE MAIL ED 01/05/000	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/083,054	SCHULZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William J. Klimowicz	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 26-29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	., .,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite´. atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-12-04.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on October 12, 2004 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1, 13 and 21 are generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 3-5, 8-12, 14, 17-20, 22 and 25, directed to the Main species of Specie II-IV and subspecies IIa-Va (as articulated in the restriction requirement originally mailed May 14, 2002), are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is

withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

More concretely, the newly presented claim 26 sets forth, in part, "an adhesive bonded to a portion of the metal material; and a composite material having a higher stiffness to weight ratio than the metal material and being bonded to the adhesive that is bonded to the metal material such that the adhesive does not absorb a significant amount of energy during bending of the suspension." Newly presented claim 28 recites, in part, "a suspension body formed from a layer of metal; and a composite stiffener formed from a composite material and bonded directly to a portion of the suspension body by an adhesive layer having a thickness such that the adhesive layer does not dampen motion of the suspension."

The original application as filed, however, is completely silent with respect to the adhesive layer having no dampening characteristics, or an adhesive layer which is completely

incapable of absorbing a "significant amount" of energy (just what the term "significant" quantifies is unclear - see rejection of claim 26 under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph, *infra*).

Moreover still, the original application actually seems to refute the newly recited limitations. As recited in page 7, lines 6-7 of the instant application as originally filed, the adhesive layer is described as "a polyimide-based liquid adhesive" or "liquid polyimide layer." As is well known, polyimides are a synthetic polymeric resin which are often used for their viscoelastic *damping* properties. The original disclosure does not in any manner refute or otherwise exclude the well known use of the polyimide adhesive so as to preclude the disclosed polyimide adhesive layer from any and all dampening characteristics. Thus, the newly presented claims 26 and 28 recite characteristics of the adhesive layer which were previously undisclosed (e.g., a non-dampening characteristic).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 26, the recitation of "an adhesive bonded to a portion of the metal material; and a composite material having a higher stiffness to weight ratio than the metal material and being bonded to the adhesive that is bonded to the metal material such that the adhesive does not absorb a *significant amount* of energy during bending of the suspension."

Emphasis in bold italics added. It is ambiguous as to the scope of the term "significant amount" since this *critical term* was never quantified by any means within the original disclosure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-25 are currently allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (703) 305-3452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William J. Klimowicz Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

بالمارية